

Global Markets Research

Fixed Income

	UST	T
Tenure	Closing (%)	Chg (bps)
2-yr UST	2.54	-1
5-yr UST	2.72	-1
10-yr UST	2.82	-1
30-yr UST	2.93	-2

	MGS			GII*				
Tenure	Closing (%)	Chg	(bps)	Closing (%)	Chg ((bps)		
3-yr	3.56		0	3.56		- 5		
5-yr	3.79		- 3	3.93		-2		
7-yr	3.98		- 4	4.09		- 4		
10-yr	4.11		- 5	4.24		- 3		
15-yr	4.57		- 5	4.71		0		
20-yr	4.84		0	5.00		0		
30-yr	4.94		0	4.98		0		

*Market indicative

MYR IRS Levels						
IR S	Closing (%)	Chg (bps)				
1-yr	3.71	0				
3-yr	3.76	0				
5-yr	3.86	0				
7-yr	3.97	- 1				
10-yr	4.15	- 1				

Source : Bloomberg

Upcoming Government Bond Tender

Nil

Fixed Income Daily Market Snapshot

US Treasuries

US Treasuries ended off-highs last Friday following the release of steady June jobs data. Benchmark yields were generally lower between 1-2 bps with the 2Y at 2.54% and the much-watched 10Y at 2.82% levels. The yield curve continued to flatten further with both the 2s10s and 5s30s spread almost touching 26bps and 20bps respectively. Meanwhile investors digest the initial implementation of \$34b of trade tariffs by US on about 800 Chinese goods and subsequent tit-for-tat response by China on US goods. China may be provoked to invest less in UST's and capable of massive movements in their Treasury holdings.

MGS/GII

Trading momentum in Govvies continued to ease for the 2nd session with total volume at RM3.36b despite the continued rally; as interest continued to be seen mainly in benchmark 10Y MGS and GII bonds together with off-the-run MGS 24-25's. Overall benchmarks yields ended 0-5 bps lower. Both the 10Y MGS 6/28 and GII 10/28 rallied to close at 4.11% and 4.24%. The latest reduction in June's foreign holdings for local govvies by 3.9% to ~RM165b did not dampen the rally in as selling seems to have abated.

Corp Bonds/Sukuk

 Trading activity in Corporate Bonds/Sukuk also eased again to RM271m with interest spanning across a mere 16 different bonds compared to 31 prior day. The bulk of the trades were focused on the AAA and AA-part of the curve. Govt-guaranteed DANAINFRA 39's notched 2-3bps lower between 5.07-09% levels compared to previous-done levels whilst AAA rated AMNA 5/24 and Bank Pembangunan 11/26 saw mixed fortunes; ending at 4.65% and 4.74% respectively. The AA-space was dominated by JEP 6/21, 6/26 and 12/31 which moved 3-6bps lower with trades totaling RM50m nominal value. July 9, 2018



Securi	ties	Closing	Vol	Previous	Previous	Chg
		YTM	(RM mil)	YTM	Trade Date	(bp)
					(dd/mm/yyyy)	(· I· /
MGS	09/18	3.315	22	3.305	04/07/2018	1
MGS	03/19	3.380	39	3.372	04/07/2018	1
MGS	10/19	3.439	6	3.415	05/07/2018	2
MGS	11/19	3.429	5	3.466	03/07/2018	-4
MGS	03/20	3.454	3	3.460	04/07/2018	-1
MGS	07/20	3.472	57	3.560	03/07/2018	-9
MGS	10/20	3.426	225	3.463	05/07/2018	-4
MGS	02/21	3.582	10	3.616	03/07/2018	-3
MGS	07/21	3.605	2	3.674	05/07/2018	-7
MGS	09/21	3.606	80	3.658	05/07/2018	-5
MGS	11/21	3.562	71	3.572	05/07/2018	-1
MGS	08/22	3.771	170	3.802	05/07/2018	-3
MGS	09/22	3.781	50	3.794	05/07/2018	-1
MGS	03/22	3.868	110	3.879	05/07/2018	-1
MGS	04/23	3.788	130	3.814	04/07/2018	-3
MGS	08/23	3.876	2	3.876	05/07/2018	0
MGS	07/24	3.899	58	4.077	05/07/2018	-18
MGS	09/24	3.903	370	4.086	05/07/2018	-18
MGS	03/24	3.984	68	4.027	04/07/2018	-10
MGS	03/25	3.904 4.174	146	4.027	05/07/2018	-4
MGS	09/25	4.174	20	4.174	05/07/2018	-2
MGS	11/26	4.239	20 55	4.257	05/07/2018	-2 -7
						-7 -7
MGS	03/27	4.309	5 28	4.380	03/07/2018	-7 -9
MGS	05/27	4.293		4.378	22/06/2018	-9 -2
MGS MGS	11/27	4.179	195	4.198	05/07/2018	-2 -4
	06/28	4.110	260	4.154	05/07/2018	-
MGS MGS	06/31	4.646 4.724	63	4.646 4.725	05/07/2018	0 0
	04/32		17		03/07/2018	
MGS	04/33	4.680	47	4.686	05/07/2018	-1
MGS	11/33	4.573	2	4.622	04/07/2018	-5
MGS	05/35	4.848	11	4.871	05/07/2018	-2
MGS	04/37	4.843	16	4.893	05/07/2018	-5
MGS	06/38	4.839	10	4.838	05/07/2018	0
MGS	07/48	4.937	42	-	-	-
GII	04/19	3.490	30	3.429	04/07/2018	6
GII	04/20	3.560	40	3.611	04/07/2018	-5
GII	04/20	3.567	10	3.669	22/06/2018	-10
GII	08/21	3.737	150	3.810	05/07/2018	-7
GII	04/22	3.837	12	3.860	05/07/2018	-2
GII	11/22	3.953	5	3.950	04/07/2018	0
GII	07/23	3.978	1	4.000	05/07/2018	-2
GII	11/23	3.931	80	3.948	05/07/2018	-2
GII	08/24	4.101	10	4.175	28/06/2018	-7
GII	08/25	4.087	120	4.127	05/07/2018	-4
GII	10/25	4.215	20	4.231	05/07/2018	-2
GII	10/28	4.242	480	4.284	05/07/2018	-4
GII	06/33	4.710	10	4.710	05/07/2018	0
			3360			

Daily Trades: Corp Bonds / Sukuk

Securities		Rating	Closing YTM	Vol (RM mil)	Previous YTM	Previous Trade Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Chg (bp)	Spread Against MGS*
DanaInfra Nasional Berhad	11/22	GG	4.245	35	4.119	06/04/2018	13	50
DanaInfra Nasional Berhad	04/39	GG	5.074	5	5.090	05/07/2018	-2	25
DanaInfra Nasional Berhad	07/39	GG	5.086	10	5.114	27/06/2018	-3	26
Cagamas Berhad	09/20	AAA	4.156	10	4.121	13/02/2018	3	67
Aman Sukuk Berhad	05/24	AAA	4.648	10	4.606	07/06/2018	4	72
Bank Pembangunan Malaysia Berhad	11/26	AAA	4.739	30	4.794	31/05/2018	-5	65
Cagamas Berhad	08/28	AAA	4.750	60	4.730	24/02/2016	2	62
Imtiaz Sukuk II Berhad	05/22	AA2	4.587	20	4.570	13/03/2018	2	84
Bumitama Agri Ltd	03/19	AA3	4.481	10	4.549	05/06/2018	-7	109
Tanjung Bin Energy Issuer Berhad	09/20	AA3	4.496	20	4.398	06/02/2018	10	101
WCT Holdings Berhad	12/18	AA-	4.441	1	4.440	28/06/2018	0	105
Jimah East Power Sdn Berhad	06/21	AA-	4.595	5	4.652	15/05/2018	-6	103
Jimah East Power Sdn Berhad	06/26	AA-	4.919	35	4.952	28/05/2018	-3	83
Jimah East Power Sdn Berhad	12/31	AA-	5.149	10	5.189	27/06/2018	-4	54
Lebuhraya DUKE Fasa 3 Sdn Berhad	08/38	AA-	5.570	10	5.539	27/04/2018	3	74
Hong Leong Financial Group Berhad	11/17	A1	5.026	1 271	5.026	05/07/2018	0	20

*spread against nearest indicative tenured MGS (Source : BPAM)

Market/Corporate News: What's Brewing

Prasarana Malaysia Bhd is considering taking over the construction of the Light Rapid Transit line 3 (LRT 3) from its project delivery partner (PDP) to curb its spiraling cost. According to sources, the government is reviewing the project as cost has ballooned from the initial estimate of RM9bil in 2015 to more than RM15bil. "The government is expected to make a decision on the project soon." a source said. Construction at the site had already reached 10%, with most of the work contracts awarded. It is estimated that more than RM15bil worth of construction jobs had been farmed out to contractors, including a RM1.1bil underground package awarded to IJM Corp Bhd in March. The 37km extension line from Bandar Utama to Klang through Shah Alam, when it was launched in 2015, was projected to cost RM10bil, including RM1bil set aside for land acquisitions. Reducing the size of the project would help to cut down cost. Other options being considered, sources said, included reverting to the turnkey model to complete the project. In 2015, Prasarana appointed Malaysian Resources Corp. Bhd (MRCB) and George Kent (M) Bhd as the PDP for the LRT 3 project at an approved construction budget of RM9bil. The PDP fee for the LRT 3 project, at its construction cost of RM15bil, would amount to RM900mil. Kenanga Research, in a report last Friday, said with most of the work packages for the LRT 3 having been awarded, it was likely that the construction cost has blown past its original target. With the ongoing project cost review, Kenanga said there is uncertainty about the continuity of the LRT 3 project despite most of the contracts having been awarded. As the PDP partner, MRCB and George Kent are responsible for the design and construction of the LRT 3 project. The PDP partner will also assume the risk of cost overruns or delays. However, despite the award of the PDP back in 2015, the total construction cost of the project has yet to be finalized. Prasarana, which is a government-owned company, has the approval to raise up to RM10bil in debts to pay for the project. Bursting the budget would require the company to seek fresh Cabinet approval for additional funds. "There is also a possibility that the project might be shelved given the current financial constraints," one source said. It is believed that that design changes to the original LRT 3 plan has contributed to the project's rising cost. For example, some of the 26 stations along the line were upgraded to accommodate new features and a bigger passenger capacity at a substantial increase in construction cost. The cost of some stations have increased from an initial RM80mil to almost RM200mil. The LRT 3 is the first project by Prasarana to be undertaken based on the PDP model that had been successful in delivering the Klang Valley Mass Rapid Transit (KVMRT) project. The PDP model was introduced in 2012 for the construction of the KVMRT project, which was awarded to a joint venture (JV) between MMC Corp Bhd and Gamuda Bhd . The JV was also awarded the PDP for the MRT 2 project in 2014 for RM28bil. It is estimated that the construction cost of the project had shot past this budget cap at RM32bil currently. It was reported that work progress on the project had reached 21% and is on track to be completed in mid-2022. (Source: The Star)

Global markets, including Malaysia's, are keeping a close eye on how quickly the tit-for-tat slapping of tariffs could escalate now that the US-China "trade war" has been launched. "China knows that it can initially respond by matching the US in kind. However, given the difference in the size of trade with US, Beijing would quickly run out of room and would be forced towards an asymmetric approach," said Huang Juin Hao, a senior portfolio manager at Affin Hwang Asset Management. China could resort to domestic policy tools to try to cushion the blow to affected industries, and it could also seek to band with the European Union, Japan, Canada and other countries similarly affected by US tariffs on the external front, he told The Edge Financial Daily. "This would buy time for China to raise the dispute with the WTO (World Trade Organisation) for a

resolution," he said, adding that buying opportunities would arise should China move towards this approach. Last Friday, the long-dreaded "trade war" got off the ground as the US imposed tariffs on US\$34 billion (RM137.36 billion) worth of Chinese goods, prompting the Chinese to respond with retaliatory duties on US products. At a press conference in Beijing, China's foreign ministry spokesman Lu Kang defended the retaliatory tariffs on US goods owing to the US' "unfair" trade actions. Chinese state media agency Xinhua said the list of products hit by China's 25% tariffs would not change from what was announced in June. Huang believed the pace of escalation will be key. As China opted to respond in the first round of tariffs, the ball is now back in US President Donald Trump's court, said Huang, who observed that the US had initiated Section 301 trade action against China several times in the past, in 1991, 1994, 1996 and 2010. On each occasion, both parties managed to reach a resolution. But will Trump prove to be a different kettle of fish? He had announced that tariffs would be imposed on US\$50 billion of Chinese goods initially. As the tariffs on the first US\$34 billion took effect last week, another US\$16 billion worth are expected to be imposed over the next two weeks. Trump had also raised the ante, threatening to impose additional duties on another whopping US\$500 billion of Chinese goods should Beijing retaliate against the US. Notwithstanding the loud threats, some are of the view that the trade war will not significantly impact the stock market as most of the latest developments have already been priced in. (Source: The Edge/Reuters)

Rating Actions							
Issuer	PDS Description	on			Rating/Outlook	Action	
SunREIT Capital Berhad's	RM1.6billion Programme	Commercial	Papers	(CP)	P1	Reaffirmed	

Source: RAM Ratings; MARC



Hong Leong Bank Berhad

Fixed Income & Economic Research, Global Markets Level 8, Hong Leong Tower 6, Jalan Damanlela Bukit Damansara 50490 Kuala Lumpur Tel: 603-2081 1221 Fax: 603-2081 8936 Email: HLMarkets@hlbb.com.my

DISCLAIMER

This report is for information purposes only and does not take into account the investment objectives, financial situation or particular needs of any particular recipient. The information contained herein does not constitute the provision of investment advice and is not intended as an offer or solicitation with respect to the purchase or sale of any of the financial instruments mentioned in this report and will not form the basis or a part of any contract or commitment whatsoever.

The information contained in this publication is derived from data obtained from sources believed by Hong Leong Bank Berhad ("HLBB") to be reliable and in good faith, but no warranties or guarantees, representations are made by HLBB with regard to the accuracy, completeness or suitability of the data. Any opinions expressed reflect the current judgment of the authors of the report and do not necessarily represent the opinion of HLBB or any of the companies within the Hong Leong Bank Group ("HLB Group"). The opinions reflected herein may change without notice and the opinions do not necessarily correspond to the opinions of HLBB. HLBB does not have an obligation to amend, modify or update this report or to otherwise notify a reader or recipient thereof in the event that any matter stated herein, or any opinion, projection, forecast or estimate set forth herein, changes or subsequently becomes inaccurate.

HLB Group, their directors, employees and representatives do not have any responsibility or liability to any person or recipient (whether by reason of negligence, negligent misstatement or otherwise) arising from any statement, opinion or information, expressed or implied, arising out of, contained in or derived from or omission from the reports or matter. HLBB may, to the extent permitted by law, buy, sell or hold significantly long or short positions; act as investment and/or commercial bankers; be represented on the board of the issuers; and/or engage in 'market making' of securities mentioned herein. The past performance of financial instruments is not indicative of future results. Whilst every effort is made to ensure that statements of facts made in this report are accurate, all estimates, projections, forecasts, expressions of opinion and other subjective judgments contained in this report are based on assumptions considered to be reasonable as of the date of the document in which they are contained and must not be construed as a representation that the matters referred to therein will occur. Any projections or forecasts mentioned in this report may not be achieved due to multiple risk factors including without limitation market volatility, sector volatility, corporate actions, the unavailability of complete and accurate information. No assurance can be given that any opinion described herein would yield favorable investment results. Recipients who are not market professional or institutional investor customer of HLBB should seek the advice of their independent financial advisor prior to taking any investment decision based on the recommendations in this report.

HLBB may provide hyperlinks to websites of entities mentioned in this report, however the inclusion of a link does not imply that HLBB endorses, recommends or approves any material on the linked page or accessible from it. Such linked websites are accessed entirely at your own risk. HLBB does not accept responsibility whatsoever for any such material, nor for consequences of its use.

This report is not directed to, or intended for distribution to or use by, any person or entity who is a citizen or resident of or located in any state, country or other jurisdiction where such distribution, publication, availability or use would be contrary to law or regulation. This report is for the use of the addressees only and may not be redistributed, reproduced or passed on to any other person or published, in part or in whole, for any purpose, without the prior, written consent of HLBB. The manner of distributing this report may be restricted by law or regulation in certain countries. Persons into whose possession this report may come are required to inform themselves about and to observe such restrictions. By accepting this report, a recipient hereof agrees to be bound by the foregoing limitations.