

Global Markets Research

Research Alert

US: Impact and Implications of SVB Collapse

No systemic risk envisioned for now; but contagion effects on the markets Expectations for dial-back in Fed policy tightening path to dampen USD outlook Key beneficiaries from flight to safety: Gold, JPY and UST

History is repeating itself?

On March 10, 2023, regulators shut down Silicon Valley Bank (SVB) as there was inadequate funding to meet massive deposits withdrawal. SVB largely serves startups and venture capitalist and after a surprised filing from the firm saying it had sold \$21bn in assets and was unloading stocks, depositors scrambled to withdraw their money, hence causing a bank run. It is estimated that SVB had approximately \$212bn in total assets of which the bulk 55% was in fixed income securities and only 7% was in cash as at end-2022. Total deposits stood at \$175bn, of which approximately 95% were uninsured by Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC).

Flashed back to September 15, 2008, Lehman Brothers filed for Chapter 11 after the investment bank was overwhelmed by mortgage-backed securities (MBS) that were mostly backed with subprime loans, many of which went into default. However, there was no bank run and no regulatory bailout.

For a more apple-to-apple comparison with SVB, Washington Mutual's (WaMu) banking operations were placed under receivership with the FDIC on September 25, 2008, within days after the Lehman's downfall. WaMu was the country's largest savings and loans bank at that time when it was facing significant losses from risky mortgage lending and a run by its depositors. Washington Mutual had over \$307bn in assets and \$188bn in deposits when it collapsed in 2008. No doubt, the WaMu fallout as well as the Global Financial Crisis was triggered by and was part of the contagion effect from the collapse of Lehman Brothers.

No systemic risk envisioned at this juncture

The collapse of SVB, Silvergate and Signature Bank is an indication of stresses in the financial markets, untimely given the concerns of a recession, and will have a contagion effect on markets, especially the banking sector as well as investors behaviour. Nevertheless, we believe that there is no systemic risk at this juncture as the US government has promptly stepped in and this note will be brief and just a gentle reminder on what happened and how bad it could go, using the past incidences of Lehman and WaMu as a guide.

Economy rebounded after three quarters; the Fed did cut rates

From history, we saw that the impact from WaMu's fallout was mostly felt within the 6-month time frame. The US economy was already in doldrum before the implosion, contracting by 2.1% in 3Q08. The economy remained contractionary at -8.5% in 4Q08, -4.6% in 1Q09 and -0.7% in 2Q09, but turned positive with a 1.5% growth in 3Q09. Bear in mind, this occurred amidst the Global Financial Crisis.

The quick rebound in economic growth could be due to Ben Bernanke, the-then Fed Chairman and his team, reacting swiftly. The Federal Reserve maintained the policy rate at 2.00% on 16 September 2008 but quickly slashed the fed funds rate by a cumulative 100bps in October (50bps each in scheduled and unscheduled FOMC



Source: Bloomberg, HLBB Global Markets Research

Figure 2: Impact on Treasuries



Source: Bloomberg, HLBB Global Markets Research

Figure 3: Impact on equities market



Source: Bloomberg, HLBB Global Markets Research



meeting), and subsequently brought it down by 75bps to 0.25% in December 2008, a level maintained till November 2015. As such, expectations that the latest bank rout and market nervousness could potentially sway the Fed policy tightening path is realistic and we see risks to our house view for three more rate hikes in the quantum of 25bps each in the next three upcoming meetings. We are now looking at a pause at the upcoming March FOMC meeting next week.

The impact on the Dollar, nevertheless, remained murky. Unlike history, the Dollar Index has lost 1.6% of its value within 2 days since the SVB implosion. In contrast, during the WaMu fallout, Dollar Index strengthened 0.7% d/d, 4.4% w/w and +13.0% m/m subsequent to that. This implies that the index could shoot up to 121 in the year but we do not believe that this is realistic at this juncture. Our wager is that the DXY will end 2023 at 102 instead, torn between Fed rate hike expectations and the USD's haven status as the world's reserves currency.

Financial market repercussion limited to two quarters

Mirroring history, the fallout was predominantly felt in the bond market. On Friday (10th March), the benchmark 10-year Treasury yield fell 21bps to 3.70%, while the 2-year Treasury yield fell 28bps to 4.59%. Yields continued to haemorrhage on the second day, with the yield on the 2-year Treasury posted its biggest 1-day plunge by 61bps at 3.98% as investors flocked to safety on the bank collapse-contagion fears. As it is, the 2-year yield has fallen more than 100bps, marking the largest 3-day decline since Black Monday although bond yields have since nudged back up somewhat at point of writing. During the WaMu crisis, the 2-year and 10-year fell as much as 145 and 180 bps. Comparing this to Thursday's closing, this means that the 2 and 10-year yields could stoop as low as 3.42% and 2.11%, ceteris paribus and bearing in mind that the yield curve was not inverted back in 2008.

In the same breath, Wall Street fell between 1-2% on Friday but more muted on the second day, dipping by less than 1% d/d and predominantly felt in financial stocks. In 2008, at a worst-case scenario, stocks collapsed between 41-44% within 6-months. Translating this to today's market, this means that the Dow Jones, S&P 500 and NASDAQ could fall to as low as 18,951; 2,185 and 6,588. However, we believe that this could be far-fetched this round given that: 1) It is not a Global Financial Crisis. 2) The knee jerk reaction in the past two days, and movement ahead could be more muted as regulators swifty implemented backstop measures. 3) Financial stocks currently account for approximately 11% of S&P 500, approximately halve of what it used to be.

Table 1: Impact of WaMu on the financial markets

| | DXY | INDU | SPX | NASDAQ | USGG2Y | USGG10Y | | |
|-----------|----------------|--------|-------|--------|--------|---------|--|--|
| 25-Sep-08 | 77.0 | 11,022 | 1,209 | 2,187 | 2.1605 | 3.8540 | | |
| 26-Sep-08 | 77.0 | 11,143 | 1,213 | 2,183 | 2.0962 | 3.8520 | | |
| 29-Sep-08 | 77.5 | 10,365 | 1,106 | 1,984 | 1.6571 | 3.5776 | | |
| 1-week | 80.3 | 10,325 | 1,099 | 1,947 | 1.5822 | 3.6031 | | |
| 1-month | 86.9 | 8,176 | 849 | 1,506 | 1.5362 | 3.6874 | | |
| 3-months | 80.9 | 8,516 | 873 | 1,530 | 0.8829 | 2.1318 | | |
| 6-months | 84.2 | 7,925 | 833 | 1,587 | 0.9066 | 2.7389 | | |
| 12-months | 76.8 | 9,665 | 1,044 | 2,091 | 0.9842 | 3.3184 | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Change (%/bps) | | | | | | | |
| 1-day | 0.7 | -7.0 | -8.8 | -9.1 | -44 | -27 | | |
| 1-week | 4.4 | -7.3 | -9.4 | -10.8 | -51 | -25 | | |
| 1-month | 13.0 | -26.6 | -30.0 | -31.0 | -56 | -16 | | |
| 3-months | 5.1 | -23.6 | -28.0 | -29.9 | -121 | -172 | | |
| 6-months | 9.4 | -28.9 | -31.3 | -27.3 | -119 | -111 | | |
| 12-months | -0.2 | -13.3 | -13.9 | -4.2 | -111 | -53 | | |

Source: Bloomberg, HLBB Global Markets Research



Table 2: Impact of Lehman Brothers on the financial markets

| | DXY | INDU | SPX | NASDAQ | USGG2Y | USGG10Y | | | |
|-----------|----------------|--------|-------|--------|--------|---------|--|--|--|
| 12-Sep-08 | 79.0 | 11,422 | 1,252 | 2,261 | 2.2026 | 3.7187 | | | |
| 15-Sep-08 | 78.9 | 10,918 | 1,193 | 2,180 | 1.7059 | 3.3868 | | | |
| 1-week | 77.7 | 11,388 | 1,255 | 2,274 | 2.1679 | 3.8105 | | | |
| 1-month | 81.4 | 9,311 | 998 | 1,779 | 1.8122 | 4.0772 | | | |
| 3-months | 83.6 | 8,630 | 880 | 1,541 | 0.7588 | 2.5705 | | | |
| 6-months | 87.4 | 7,224 | 757 | 1,432 | 0.9558 | 2.8902 | | | |
| 12-months | 76.7 | 9,627 | 1,049 | 2,092 | 0.9193 | 3.4208 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | Change (%/bps) | | | | | | | | |
| 1-day | -0.1 | -4.4 | -4.7 | -3.6 | -39 | -47 | | | |
| 1-week | -1.6 | -0.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 7 | -4 | | | |
| 1-month | 3.0 | -18.5 | -20.3 | -21.3 | -28 | 23 | | | |
| 3-months | 5.9 | -24.4 | -29.7 | -31.9 | -134 | -128 | | | |
| 6-months | 10.7 | -36.8 | -39.6 | -36.7 | -114 | -96 | | | |
| 12-months | -2.9 | -15.7 | -16.2 | -7.5 | -118 | -43 | | | |

Source: Bloomberg, HLBB Global Markets Research

Key beneficiaries: Gold, JYP

Having that said, one man's pain can be another's man's gain. In the flight for safety, we believe that the 2 key beneficiaries will be gold and JPY. Gold prices rose as much as 15.4% post the WaMu fall out, from \$882.90/oz to as high as \$1,019.20/oz within the 1-year time frame, suggesting that gold could stretch to \$2,117/oz. In the same breath, JPY strengthened as much as 22.1% three months post the WaMu fallout (106.56 to 87.24), suggesting that JPY could strengthen to around 111.



Hong Leong Bank Berhad

Fixed Income & Economic Research, Global Markets Level 8, Hong Leong Tower 6, Jalan Damanlela Bukit Damansara 50490 Kuala Lumpur

Tel: 603-2081 1221 Fax: 603-2081 8936

Email: HLMarkets@hlbb.hongleong.com.my

DISCLAIMER

This report is for information purposes only and does not take into account the investment objectives, financial situation or particular needs of any particular recipient. The information contained herein does not constitute the provision of investment advice and is not intended as an offer or solicitation with respect to the purchase or sale of any of the financial instruments mentioned in this report and will not form the basis or a part of any contract or commitment whatsoever.

The information contained in this publication is derived from data obtained from sources believed by Hong Leong Bank Berhad ("HLBB") to be reliable and in good faith, but no warranties or guarantees, representations are made by HLBB with regard to the accuracy, completeness or suitability of the data. Any opinions expressed reflect the current judgment of the authors of the report and do not necessarily represent the opinion of HLBB or any of the companies within the Hong Leong Bank Group ("HLB Group"). The opinions reflected herein may change without notice and the opinions do not necessarily correspond to the opinions of HLBB. HLBB does not have an obligation to amend, modify or update this report or to otherwise notify a reader or recipient thereof in the event that any matter stated herein, or any opinion, projection, forecast or estimate set forth herein, changes or subsequently becomes inaccurate.

HLB Group, their directors, employees and representatives do not have any responsibility or liability to any person or recipient (whether by reason of negligence, negligent misstatement or otherwise) arising from any statement, opinion or information, expressed or implied, arising out of, contained in or derived from or omission from the reports or matter.

Potential and actual conflict of interest may arise from the activities of HLB Group. HLB Group constitute a diversified financial services group. These entities engage in a wide range of commercial and investment banking, brokerage, funds management, hedging transactions and other activities for their own account or the account of others. In the ordinary course of their business, HLB Group may effect transactions for their own account or for the account of their customers and hold long or short positions in the financial instruments. HLB Group, in connection with its business activities, may possess or acquire material information about the financial instruments. Such activities and information may involve or have an effect on the financial instruments. HLB Group have no obligation to disclose such information about the financial instruments or their activities.

The past performance of financial instruments is not indicative of future results. Whilst every effort is made to ensure that statements of facts made in this report are accurate, all estimates, projections, forecasts, expressions of opinion and other subjective judgments contained in this report are based on assumptions considered to be reasonable as of the date of the document in which they are contained and must not be construed as a representation that the matters referred to therein will occur. Any projections or forecasts mentioned in this report may not be achieved due to multiple risk factors including without limitation market volatility, sector volatility, corporate actions, the unavailability of complete and accurate information. No assurance can be given that any opinion described herein would yield favorable investment results. Recipients who are not market professional or institutional investor customer of HLBB should seek the advice of their independent financial advisor prior to taking any investment decision based on the recommendations in this report.

HLBB may provide hyperlinks to websites of entities mentioned in this report, however the inclusion of a link does not imply that HLBB endorses, recommends or approves any material on the linked page or accessible from it. Such linked websites are accessed entirely at your own risk. HLBB does not accept responsibility whatsoever for any such material, nor for consequences of its use.

This report is not directed to, or intended for distribution to or use by, any person or entity who is a citizen or resident of or located in any state, country or other jurisdiction where such distribution, publication, availability or use would be contrary to law or regulation. This report is for the use of the addressees only and may not be redistributed, reproduced or passed on to any other person or published, in part or in whole, for any purpose, without the prior, written consent of HLBB. The manner of distributing this report may be restricted by law or regulation in certain countries. Persons into whose possession this report may come are required to inform themselves about and to observe such restrictions. By accepting this report, a recipient hereof agrees to be bound by the foregoing limitations.